1	
2	COSTAL RESTORATION STUDY
3	CODIAL RESIDENTION STORY
4	U.S. CORP OF ENGINEERS
5	and
6	LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
7	PUBLIC MEETING
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	The public meeting for the Coastal
11	Restoration Study, given in the above-entitled cause,
12	before Wanda Capdeville, a Certified Court Reporter,
13	authorized to administer oaths and take depositions,
14	taken at the Morgan City Auditorium, 728 Myrtle
15	Street, Morgan City, Louisiana, on Monday, February
16	10, 2003, commencing at 6:07 p.m.
17	Reported by:
18	Wanda Capdeville, CCR
19	Certified Court Reporter
20	In and for the State of Louisiana
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	2
2		
3	REPRESENTING THE NEW ORLEANS	
4	DISTRICT CORP OF ENGINEERS	
5	BY:	
6		
7		
8		
9	REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA	
10	DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	
11	BY:	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	3
2	Page	
3	Caption	1
4	Appearances	
5		
6		
7	Presentation:	
8	By Mr. Darensbourg	5, 29
9	By Mr. Ryland	22
10		
11	Reporter's Page	34
12	Certificate	35
13		
14		
15	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
16		
17	(None Offered)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

т		*	*	*	P	R	E	S	E	N	T	S	T	I	0	N	*	*	*
2																			
	ROBIN	DUNKII	. V																

2.4

Good evening. If we could get started. When the comments are concluded, then we're going to have an hour's worth of questions and answers and comments for you.

The first presentation we're going to hear from -and I'll just introduce the panel real quick is Jon
Porthouse. Jon is a Senior Project Manager with the
Louisiana Department of Natural Resources.

Next to Jon is Troy Constance. He's a Senior

Project Manager with the Army Corp of Engineers.

And all the way at the very end is Sue Hawes. She's a

Project Manager with the Army Corp of Engineers. Jon and

Sue will be presenting this evening.

The first thing I'd like to go over with you is a couple of ground rules. We have a really good representation here tonight. I'd like everyone who wants to speak to have an opportunity to speak.

So first and foremost the one thing I want to say is, can everyone hear me okay? Should I talk louder? You can't hear very well? Okay. All right. Good. If you should have a problem hearing, someone please just stand up, raise their hand and say, "I'm having a tough time hearing." We may just need to turn up the volume.

As you can see, we placed a mich in the middle of

the room for anyone to come up to the stand and make questions and comments right here. We ought to be able to hear you just fine.

When you stand we'd like for you to say your name, and preferably if you think it's a difficult name to spell, we'd like you -- to hear, we'd like you to spell it. We have a court reporter here this evening who's capturing all this information for us. And we also have some members of the press here. So we'd like to get your name and would like to be able to spell it correctly. So if you can give us your name, your affiliation and where you're from. We'd like to know what part of town you're from.

We ask that you do one question at a time. Some of you may have multiple questions. That's okay. But if you could do one question at a time, that's what we would prefer.

Jon and Sue are not going to leave here this evening until all of your questions are answered. If you are the type of person who doesn't prefer to stand up in front of a microphone to ask your question, that's okay. Jon and Sue will stay here and they will answer your question once the meeting is over.

2.4

I ask that you please keep your comments to less than one minute, so please don't be offended if I may stop you in the middle of a five-minute presentation to

of Natural Resources.

trying to do tonight is three things.

What I'm trying -- well, what I'm

So if we don't

1	accomplish this in our talks, we would like you to come
2	up to the microphone and call us out because there are
3	three things I'm trying to do tonight.
4	The first thing I'm trying to do is explain what the
5	heck this effort is meant to do. It's different from the
6	traditional Corp of Engineers Feasibility Study that you
7	guys are pretty well familiar with in this area.
8	So, what we're going to explain how it's
9	different, why it's better for you and how it's going to
10	get marsh projects on the ground faster, which is what
11	everybody wants to see.
12	Secondly, we're going to explain is how you can stay
13	informed and participate in the process, because believe
14	it or not, we can provide the best technical
15	documentation in the world, which is our intent from this
16	side of the table, but without your support and your
17	participation, it's just not going to work. So we do
18	need you to participate.
19	The last thing we'd like to do for you tonight, and
20	this is going to be Sue Hawes'
21	
22	presentation, is give you an update on where we stand
23	with this effort and where we're going.
24	In many ways tonight's meeting is about an
25	orientation for the next round of public meetings in
	several weeks. We need you to understand where we are in
	the process and where we're going so you know how to get

8 1 information out and what we need you to do with it. 2 So I'll go into the presentation now 3 with this slide. Land loss is nothing new to you folks 4 down here; you live right on the front lines. Many of 5 things are natural forces such as storms and ***. 6 Some of the things we have done ourselves in the 7 coastal zone which, for the best of intentions, have 8 allowed us to live and work here, as I have often had 9 unintended consequences in altering a flow pattern of 10 The sediments and nutrients can't fertilize the water. 11 marshes and they can't keep up with the natural 12 processes. 13 So a little bit of a history, Coastal Restoration, 14 1965 was about the first real 15 big knowledge to the federal government that there was a 16 problem down here that needed fixing. That was the 17 original authorization with **** Davis Pond. 18 For several reasons, the actual construction work 19 didn't start for another two decades or so largely until 20 the State decided to kick in money on the project and got 21 it moving. But that, again, that was the first big 22 knowledge to the federal government. 2.3 Since 1967 authorization just provides us the 2.4 permission to do this effort, and we're going to talk to 25

Act

1989, with ******

you about it tonight. The real big effort started around

1	*** allowing the state to have people and money to
2	participate in the restoration program. And it also
3	allowed a year later of 1990 the Breaux Act from the
4	federal government, where the federal government stepped
5	up to the table and provided the basis of what is now
6	most of our restoration program, the Quipper.
7	The Quipper produced a restoration plan in 1993, but
8	in 1998 we improved upon that, recognizing the
9	fundamental nature of the problems out there that you
10	know, the processes that interrupted, the natural
11	****** processes that interrupted.
12	<u>-</u>
13	So what we're trying to do is get back to that basic
14	level; putting the river back, making sure the natural
15	processes are more at work.
16	Then from there in 2002, just under a year ago, we
17	had to start the effort we're here to talk to you about
18	tonight.
19	You'll notice the last word on that slide was
20	"study." And we don't want you to get hung up over the
21	word "study." What we're about here tonight is not doing
4 1	another study. We are largely aware of the problem, we

g another study. We are largely aware of the problem, we are largely aware of the solutions.

22

23

24

25

But unfortunately, when we're going to find a multibillion dollar program, it involves buy in from the federal and state government, we need to document that. We need to go through a reporting process to institute

this program.

So when you see the word "study" tonight, do not be offended. That is merely a reporting effort that we have to go through.

This slide shows the magnitude of the task at hand. We have done a lot out there. Quipper has been tremendously effective. We've learned a lot through Kenard and Davis Pond, Barrier Shoreline Projects; all these things.

But even our best efforts over the last 10 or 12 years, by this estimate, can fix about 28 percent of the problem. So what we're here to talk about tonight is the rest of it, the 72 percent. What can we do about the rest of the problem, okay?

So the goal -- I told you it's not a study, it's a report. What's this report supposed to do? It is supposed to gain a commitment from any consensus on the national and state level that there is a problem down here that needs fixing.

2.3

2.4

Coast 20/50 Plan of 1998 was a landmark.

All 20 coastal parishes passed resolutions to support that. A tremendous document, point; giving the concepts and consensus. However, it did lack a few things. It lacked a few engineering details and the program elements, but it also did not go beyond coastal Louisiana to a large extent. It did not pull in resolutions and

1	settle support from the rest of Louisiana's parishes, for
2	example. It did not pull in resolutions of support from
3	the other 49 states.
4	We have the Breaux Act Task Force down here, which
5	has allowed us to interact with the federal government.
6	They were all behind the 20/50 Plan. But by and large,
7	that didn't make it up for Washington.
8	So somebody's just I don't want to call them
9	inefficiencies, but somebody's blank cases are what we're
10	about with this feasibility effort right now.
11	So to gain this natural consensus, to gain this
12	natural statewide commitment, we're trying to prepare a
13	report for July of 2004. That's our deadline.
14	We're going to send a massive document to Congress
15	asking for a new large scale program to implement the
16	large scale strategies for the Coast 20/50 Plan.
17	It's a collaborative effort of agencies. You guys
18	are not unused to hearing that. Down here we have EPA,
19	the NRCS, Fisher & Wildlife Service, NOLA Fisheries, the
20	Corp, the state. They've all been working with Quipper.
21	We've expanded that a little bit more now. We've
22	pulled in, on a national level, the Departments of
23	Energy, Transportation, FIMA, US GIA. And we've
24	collaborated, not only on a state level, but at the
25	national level.

We're putting together groups at the Washington

1 level, at the regional level with 2 Dallas, Vicksburg, Atlanta, those kinds of offices, 3 because it's, again, about a national and regional 4 consensus.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

So we're working all levels of government simultaneously to get this thing on the ground faster. And that's something that's been missing before, and we hope that's going to be something that's going to work to our advantage this time.

Programmatic authorization, I'll go into this a little bit more later, but typically we get them -- we get things authorized one project at a time. There are dozens of strategies and concepts in the 20/50 Plan. project at a time is not going to cut it. We've got to have something in place that allows us to pursue many different activities at the same time to get projects on the ground faster, not just one at a time.

Then we'll have something in there about the implementation of the plan, basically the rules by which the federal government and the state government operate through -- more expeditiously together to get these on the ground.

What's the report that I have? You've seen this before, too. What's going to happen if we do nothing? Many of you are familiar with the consequences of doing nothing down here.

going to be able to manage the tremendous amount of work

that \$14,000,000,000 signifies?

So we're looking at -- we're looking at lessons learned from other large scale programs; the Everglades *** Programs in California. We're trying to learn from not only their mistakes, but their successes, how we can organize ourselves best to get this work done the fastest.

We're going to look at one of the federal and state funding requirements, how much it's going to cost, how much each of us is going to have to pay, science based decision support systems.

It's incredibly important when you're attempting to go to a national audience and make your case for many billions of dollars to fix the coastal zone, it has to be based on the best science, not only from now to the initial report, but all the way through implementation. You have to keep bringing the best science into the program. And that's what that's all about; giving our elected officials the best technical knowledge to make their decisions.

2.4

And delegated authority; this is one of those big keys that you all have to understand before you leave. So if you don't get it this time, ask me later. Traditionally, we send a report from

Mr. Constance's, the District Engineer in New Orleans, through Vicksburg to headquarters in Washington, through

2.4

plan component that finishes up in detail design, all the environmental work that goes on, and it's part of the plan that we submit to Congress in 2004 is approved, Congress, in certain instances, will feel comfortable saying, "We don't need to see it as a whole. Our Congressional Committee is going to approve this, so you can get your money there without going through the last level of the debate." In certain limited instances they might actually delegate that down further to the Assistant Secretary of the Army. So this delegated authority is a huge time saver in getting these things on the ground, these projects on the ground faster.

Problematic Environmental Impact Statement; that goes along with the level with detail in our plan. If we can't say we're going to do a 15,000 CSF diversion right here, and this is what -- you know, what we're trying to do, we can't really say for sure exactly what the impacts are.

So a Problematic Environmental Impact Statement, we'll be able to say, "A large diversion in these areas will have these sorts of affects." And it will allow us

1	to say, "Yeah, we'll have these sorts of affects on
2	
3	fisheries depending on the magnitude of the diversion."
4	Those kinds of issues.
5	So it's all about framing the discussion, framing
6	the issues, giving everybody enough detail so you
7	understand basically what you're getting into.
	But then in 2004 when the program is approved we go
8	back and finish out all that documentation, finish out
9	all of the details about where the individual plans are
10	going to go and all the environmental compliance that
11	goes along with it.
12	See here, the potential solutions. Again, you all
13	are familiar with these. You've seen them, we've done
14	them, we've been successful. And in many cases, we've
15	made an effort to scale these solutions up.
16	First, put the river back in the estuaries. In many
17	
18	cases, Terrebonne, Barataria, in areas like this, this is
19	the answer. They're you know, barrier shoreline
20	restoration; keeping the Gulf in the Gulf, protecting our
21	bays, our wetlands and our homes.
22	Barrier shoreline restoration, we have
	we have done a pretty good job so far, but it's not
23	it needs ramping up.
24	Salt water intrusion control; again, we don't want
25	salty water where our fresher areas are. It gets in

salty water where our fresher areas are. It gets in --

it kills the fresher marshes, it gets in our drinking

17 1 water. We don't want that to happen. 2 That top line, the traditional process. As I said, 3 you do one thing at a time. You do your feasibility 4 study, you get authorized, you do your final design and 5 you build it, and you operate and maintain it. 6 In this case, again, literally, it could be dozens 7 of planning components. We can't do it one at a time. 8 We have to have a process that allows us to do final 9 detail design on several components at a time, get them 10 into construction and then start operating and learning 11 from them. Again, being the best science facts in the 12 project. 13 That red line on the bottom, LCA Comp, that's what 14 we're here to talk to you tonight about. July 2004 is 15 the transition between that red bar and that yellow bar. 16 I think it's yellow. That's where we get into the 17 details and design we're going to talk about. 18 So after tonight, I want you to know, July 2004, 19 this program study is done, and we -- if approved by 20 Congress, we move into detail and design. 21 Our program will have three different levels of 22 authorization, traditional one -- traditional feasibility 23 study, that's one level of authorization; Congress 2.4 debates and either says yes or no.

In this case we'll have three different ways for Congress to look at it. The first group is that

25

t,

2.

2.4

The second group, that's the delegated authority I talked about. Congress will buy into it to the point where, "Yeah, we think it's a good idea and we think you've got a handle on the solution, but you need to do a little bit more work before we'll turn loose the keys to the vault." That's what that second one's about.

The third one, unfortunately there will projects which are so incredibly complex that we have virtually no documentation, so there will be some that we have to do a traditional feasibility study on. We're trying to identify those now.

The criteria which separates these three groups, we have not identified yet. We do not know how the recommended plan will be parceled out between those three groups. It's something we're working on right now and it's something we'll be able to tell you before we're done with this whole reporting process, where we can fix.

Plan formulation. That's what Sue is here to update you on. Where we stand on turning the 20/50 concepts into some semblance of reality?

All of these detailed studies, those are the things we're going to have to complete before we actually build

1	19 a project. We are doing some of that detail some of
2	those studies now. We are not going to get to the final
3	level of detail by 2004, but before we build one single
4	project together we may they will all have to have
5	these studies complete.
6	And public involvement, that's the last part. That
7	again, that's the critical part. We will provide the
8	best technical documentation and consultation with you
9	folks, but we need help to get this thing authorized.
10	So there's two questions: How can I stay informed,
11	how do I know what's going on and how can I participate?
12	The first one is pretty important. How do you stay
13	informed on what's going on? And the first and obvious
14	
15	answer is, be on our mailing list. If you did not get a
16	study meeting announcement mailed directly to you in your
17	home you need to go to the back of the room. There's a
18	little 3 x 5 card that you can fill out. Put your name
19	and address on the e-mail list on the mailing list.
20	We'll mail all of our announcements straight to your
21	house. That's the first critical step.
22	Breaux Act News Flash. For those of you who have
23	access to the internet, if you go to the Quipper website,
24	we'll tell you about it later, if you don't have access
25	or don't know where it is. You can sign up for an e-mail
∠ Ͻ	newsletter and you can become aware instantly on your

desktop on what we're doing on this study, but also

1 everything else that's going on in coastal restoration. 2 Not only Quipper, it will be a vfew other related 3 activities, but this is one of the primary methods that 4 we're using to get the word out to the -- to the public.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

This one you guys got down pretty well. here, you're attending a public meeting, so that's one of the ways you can not only get the information reported on the internet and everything, but you can actually ask us questions that clarify things that you don't quite That's what we're here for, to provide understand. explanations.

LCA website: What we're shooting to do, all these presentations we're giving tonight, we're shooting against those on the internet before you come to the meeting. We understand sometimes you come to a meeting and people dump a lot of new information on you and you can't digest it and you go home feeling cheated because you didn't understand exactly what you heard and you didn't really have a chance to ask the questions you wanted to ask.

So if you're -- if you plan on coming to these public meetings on a regular basis, please do go to the internet in advance to these meetings, check out these presentations. If you go there you will actually see not just these slides, but the script to what we plan to say to you up here in addition to what -- the work on the

1 21 slides. So you get a pretty good idea of what you're 2 going to hear when you come to these meetings. 3 Request a presentation. We'll go anywhere and talk 4 to anybody. You just have to ask. It's a commitment 5

we've made and it's a commitment we intend to keep. 6 understand that the best way to inform people is actually 7 to be there face to face and interacting, so if you have 8 any groups

such as Restore and Retreat, you want to have a meeting and talk to us directly, we are happy to come and

12 to us. Anytime we can talk to you, we'll be there.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Now, if you want to go beyond -- for information to just be aware of what's going on, you want to help us actually get this thing authorized, there are several different things you can do.

interact with you on your own terms. It does not matter

If you're just interested in making sure that the report goes to Congress is as good as it can be, -- this is the first one, you're already doing it, congratulations. You're here, you're commenting. one of the important things you can do.

Second thing, we have all sorts of ways to get comments in. Our meeting announcement actually had a postage-paid card that's also in the back. If you didn't want to come tonight, couldn't make it, you could fill that out and mail it straight to Troy and he'll get it on his desk.

You can fill it out in the back of the room or you - we've got a comment card right on the internet. We've
got several comments already from those previous public
meetings straight from the internet. So it's getting email straight to Troy and myself.

You can write letters and e-mails to document your concerns. We have a local representative from the state legislature who has requested specific letters from all his constituents on what they think about these projects. We've been passing that along to everybody.

Write a letter to your representatives here and in Washington. Write a letter to me. Write a letter to Karen Gautreaux, who's not here. The governors Executive Assistant for Coastal Activities. Write a letter to anybody. It will get to the study. We intend to address every comment, every question, every concern we get.

Encourage any group you may already be a part of to get involved in the study. Sometimes you're part of a religious or a civic group that doesn't participate in these coastal restoration activities. You can encourage them to get involved, you can encourage them to get up to the meetings and interact with you.

The existing stateholder groups -- again, I'm going to point to Lori because she's here, and I see her. I think I saw Ted Beaullieu from ADA. You know, there are

We do intend to come out and talk to you again.

This is not just a one time deal. We will be here probably in April next -
and again, all these things are going to apply.

other means.

23

2.4

25

1	24 One of the things we need to ask you though, did you get
2	notified? Did you get notified in the best way to get
3	you to this meeting? Is the best meeting location for
4	you? We're trying to make it as easy as possible for the
5	folks to be informed and participate. So if there's a
6	if there's a way we can get to you, a special web page
7	you pay attention to, a radio show you listen to in the
8	morning, let us know. We'll try to hit that advertising
9	next time.
10	If this is not the best location for you, you think
11	we should go to Abbeyville or to Houma, let us know.
12	This was our first best shot to get the most people, to
13	spread them out across the coast. If we've got it wrong
14	we need to know so we can come get you in the right place
15	next time.
16	
17	So that's about the end of my presentation, but
18	again, I just want to reiterate, we can do the best job
19	in the world up here. This is what they pay us to do.
20	We will do the best technical document we can possibly
21	get done, but we need your help to make it happen. So,
22	thank you.
23	ROBIN DUNKIN:
24	The next presentation, as I mentioned before, is
	from Sue Hawes, who's with the Army Corp of Engineers.

I'm Sue Hawes for -- I'm Environmental Manager, and

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

25

SUE HAWES:

I don't have any salivary glands, so I'm going to be taking a pause and a gulp of water every once in awhile. I'm going to sit there so I can run my slides -- so as soon as I can totter over.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And so welcome again, and thanks for coming to the meeting. I'm going to be explaining the progress, where we are today, what we've One of the first things we need to do is project the future without the project; what's going to happen in the future if we don't do anything except the existing Breaux Act Projects. And if that becomes the future without federal action, then the last land offspring we had was 1983 to 1990, and we needed the more recent one. So we updated it to 1990 to 2000.

During that period nearly 24 square miles a year were lost. We've done it in a detailed enough way that we could project where the loss might occur. And this map shows the past loss and predicted loss in pink and dark red, and the past and predicted gain in green.

And looking at it you can see a lot of the loss occurred in Barataria-Terrebonne. And here, for instance, is the mouth of Bayou Lafourche. And you can see all of the loss that occurred. This is what's likely to happen in the Atchafalaya Belt. And even with all this growth, Subprovince 3 has the highest land loss in the future along the coast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170

So without -- just a moment. If we don't do anything by 2050, our -- 500 square miles of our coast will be gone. Or if you're within a plan, you need Congress. What are we aiming for? We could reduce the loss, cut it in half.

So, you know, I mean, then it's 250 square miles, we could maintain it where there wouldn't be any loss. that doesn't mean that we just take a picture of it today and keep that. You're going to lose some of that land. In other areas the loss will be reduced and in other areas there will be gain. So you end up with no net And then we could think really big and enhanced target to regain 250 square miles a year.

Well, as Jon has said, this all goes back to Coast In Subprovince 3, the Retail Project Development Team, page 18, Strategies. Well, then in Coast 2050 we want the Keystone Strategies. These would be ones that were too costly for it to grow at, and also ones that fundamentally changed the ecosystem, or in simple language, did the most for the coast.

And the ones we chose were moving the Atchafalaya water east, which is the orange line and arrow, getting some fresh water south into the tidal marshes through the -- red arrows, maximizing the Atchafalaya Delta Development, which is the chartreuse line, around the bay, and the third delta, which is the big chartreuse

triangle.

2

3

4

5

6

1

And for those of you not familiar with it, that's the Garsh Conveyance Channel east of Bayou Lafourche that parallels to it, and it goes down in half the channel, goes to delta in the Little Lake area. The other half crosses Bayou Lafourche and builds a big delta in the *** area just south of it.

8

9

It also shows restoring the barrier shoreline, the islands ***

10

and also maintaining the shoreline in the bays,

how we got from Coast 2050 to the LCA.

strategies in Coast 2050 across the coast.

11

Timberlear, Terrebonne, Vermilion Bay. So those are the

We had 90

In the LCA we

12

Keystone strategies that we chose. And so you can see

13

14 15

have 35 keystones.

costs.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well, now, we participated in 2050; no, that's -they were just strategies, like move Atchafalaya water
eastward. Well, having to do that, what are you going to
do? We came up with hypothetical measures to do each
keystone, but these have details because we had to put
them into models, we had to come up with benefits and

23

2.4

25

So these hypothetical measures are very detailed, and then the measures that combined into some hypothetical approaches, different ways of looking at the problem.

I've already explained that in Subprovince 3 we have

So if we're trying to maximize the Atchafalaya River

resources, some of the measures that we'll use are -- 30 first of all, we want to maximize the land building in Atchafalaya Bay, the big orange square. To do that we can modify the sediment distribution to the old river control structure. And for ***** out there, we will fix the spelling. It's OICS, not ORCI, because we've got somebody who's come down the river to our meeting, and then we also tried to get more sediment of the lake Then we rebuild the historic reefs across the outlay. mouth of the bay to hold the water and sediment in.

Then we also have to move the Atchafalaya water to the east and south. And we have to protect some areas of shoreline on the GIWW. We diverge some water from Bayou Shafer and we reintroduce some -- the Bayou Lafourche from the 10,000 cubic feet. In order to keep the Atchafalaya water in we build a ******* And then we try to maintain some of the land bridges between the lakes to keep the salt water from moving north.

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A land built by delta development, a lot of the measures are the same. The Atchafalaya Delta would be same. We move Atchafalaya water east and south just by getting to some small openings and channels off the Atchafalaya. We've had *** and then we concentrate on building the big delta, the big third delta with a channel parallel to Bayou

Lafourche.

And then to maximize geomorphic features, we look at protecting shorelines, and -- along Buras southwest pass.

On some of the shores in East Coast ***** Bay, the shore line of *** Island; putting the barrier shoreline islands back to the 1890 condition, adding the Houma

Navigation Canal Locks. Adding an interior barrier along the bays in eastern Terrebonne, and finally maintaining a lot of the land bridges.

So these would be the three approaches that we'd look at in Subprovince 3. And so it gives you an idea of the measures, how the measures would all be different, because we do want a wide range of alternatives to look at.

Now, moving out west to Subprovince 4, this is Chenier Plain, they had 22 Coast 2050 strategies, and we've -- this time we chose about 7 of them to be Keystone.

2.4

The major ones were to control salinity at the mouth of the Calcasieu and the Sabine, the big red dots. We also looked at getting fresh water, lowering the water levels up in the lake's basin Hermin, and getting it out into the more coastal area, which is the red arrows. And then we looked at a fair amount of marsh location with fresh material of beneficial use. And finally the white line is showing gulf shoreline.

And then here we have three different approaches.

Again, this Calcasieu-Sabine Basin, the big problem is keeping salinity out. There's two big navigational canals that shoot salty water into the heart of the --this subprovince. So you can control those by building locks or gates at the mouth of the Sabine and the Calcasieu.

You could also use a different approach, and that's what we've got partially constructed. You can control the salinity all around the perimeter at all the -- and we've -- a lot of the areas have structures already.

Cameron Creole has structures. We've modernized those.

We've given more control. We've put structures in all the little bayous that go into the area between the Calcasieu Lake and Sabine Lake. Or we could concentrate on just getting fresh water into these basins from any way we could.

So now we're looking at the three approaches with a whole range of measures, with the large structural salinity control, we'd have the loss of gates at the mouth of the navigation channels, we'd have some fresh water introduction into the *** basin, and we'd have marsh creation on the Sabine National Wildlife Refuge.

Then the perimeter salinity control, you'd have all those crosses to control salinity, all the little bayous

Before we turn the floor back over to Robin, I just

1

2

3

out here in April.

how effective these plans are.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

ROBIN ROBINSON:

Okay. We're going to start the guestion and answer

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

Broad brush approach of what we're trying to It does not have all the details. There are accomplish. no -- we are still in the process of flashing out exactly what these concepts mean, exactly what this little red square means and this little white squiggly line. still flashing that out right now. At the same time we're also flashing out our evaluations of when we decide

wanted to say, to finish off the orientation course in

case you're ready for a next well, the next time we come

So next time we come out here to you we'll be able to tell you more concretely what these little sweeping lines mean and what it's going to do for particular resources of interest, like fisheries, like flood protection, those kinds of things.

So the next time we come out, this broad brush approach, the outlines you see here tonight, we'll have a lot more details for you to consider, a lot more meat on So to finish off your ideas, you can expect the bones. more meat next time. This is the concepts. These are the things we need you to understand now about the different ways we're looking to restore the coast. We'll bring more information back out next time.

We're talking about \$14,000,000,000. The state

understand is what the state match is going to be.

25

1	match is going to \$4.25 billion. And what we need to do
2	probably at these meetings is make the public aware of
3	that, because I know we've hired governors got
4	permission, and what they've done is they've assigned men
5	to come up with innovative funding sources.
6	And so what you might want to do is bring this out
7	to the public, because there may be some good ideas out
8	here on how we can go ahead and generate some money,
9	because that is going to be the show stopper.
10	You know, if we don't have the funding, then it
11	ain't going to happen. That's one of the things. And
12	I'll give you one example that I thought of, is you might
13	want to do some kind of a user fee for people to use the
14	Intracoastal waterway.
15	A lot of my clients, that is their biggest land loss
16	there, is along the Intracoastal Canal, because of the
17	wave wash and erosion along the Intracoastal Canal. And
18	we have no kind of protection there or along fresh water
19	bayou canal. So we might want to think about developing
20	some kind of user fee for that.
21	The other thing I would suggest is that we go ahead,
22	and if we're asking the whole country to pay for this
23	thing, we'd better start convincing people in this state
24	what we're up against and start putting things out, not
25	just through cards and sending people to public meetings
	when we have 50 people here. What we need to do is have

it on radio, and -- or either have it on television.

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

I know the governor put together a videotape, and he's got all kinds of things. We need to find ways. maybe some of the stations can donate some time to put some stuff out there. You know, we need to start making the nation aware of this, because that's going to be the other big show stopper. Thank you very much.

8

JON PORTHOUSE:

9

Let me just address a couple of those comments.

10 11

12

13

What you refer to as, right now, the current federal legislation, the state is on the hook, or so to speak, with 35 percent of the cost. One of the things the state's doing sort of outside of this feasibility realm on our own is to develop some information that might allow us to make a case that 35 percent isn't really an equitable share for Louisiana.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we're actually looking for ways to make an argument that maybe it should be 25 percent, maybe even 15 percent. We don't really know what the fair share will be, but we are looking at that. So hopefully we'll be able to make a strong enough case that 35 percent is a little steep. We can't quarantee that right now. That's something we're trying to work on.

23 2.4

25

You're absolutely right about funding sources. The state right now has to work on that. You mentioned the Governor's Commission is going to be involved.

2.4

The Federal Government has to do sort of the same thing. I'm not going to speak for them on that, but the Federal Government does have to identify funding sources for them, as well.

You're going to pass on that, but to address your other comment about these -- understand this is the bare minimum of what we have to do to get the word out. We understand that. We are now in the process of documenting the final outreach plan, or the participation plan to get everybody on board with this.

We do have something called the America's Wetland Campaign. And if you haven't heard of it, we have a few brochures back there. But the goal of that is to develop the national and statewide awareness. So that's going on right now through these public meetings. They're really rewarding. We've asked for your help, and people have stood up and said, "Hey, I can get you on public TV." We have a lunch tomorrow to discuss how to get on public TV around the state and around the country.

So issues like that, we'll take any sort of air time we can get, and if anybody out there has any radio shows,

future is here and it's now. And we are really racing

1	40 against the clock. And I know all of you know that. And
2	we're happy that we're pushing for word in 2004, and
3	we've set our goal for word in 2004.
4	But nonetheless, it concerns me that contracts are
5	not getting signed that need to be signed to start
6	
7	getting projects implemented.
8	More specifically, I've been there's been a lot
9	of talk out there right now about the Bayou Lafourche
10	Reintroduction Project. It's my understanding that
11	there's been delays in contracts with that. And that's
12	just one example, that a project like that, we don't have
13	another several years. We don't have 25 years like it
14	took for Davis Pond.
	You know, we can help you with getting the word out.
15	There's a lot of you know, we can help you in
16	reaching the standard or where to go forward but what can
17	we do to make sure that the contracts are signed and
18	things you know, I guess the red tape in all of this,
19	we can cut through it and make sure that projects are
20	implemented. So that's my first question.
21	TROY CONSTANCE:
22	Well, the project you refer to is not within the
23	LCA, but that's a Quipper project and I believe you
24	refer to. And it's unfortunate consequences, that when
25	

sure that all the i's are dotted and t's are crossed.

you are dealing with tax payer dollars, you need to make

But I'm certain we'll have time.

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

BARRY GUIDRY:

25

TROY CONSTANCE:

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

By the way, thank you for your services.

43 1 Oh, I may be leaving again soon. 2. ROBIN DUNKIN: 3 Our next person, Ted Beaullieu, Acadiana 4 Association. 5 TED BEAULLIEU: 6 I'm Ted Beaullieu, B-e-a-u-l-l-i-e-u, 7 and I'd like to go on public record that we certainly 8 agree with what Sue Haus has presented in regards to 9 Subprovince 3, was it, the Atchafalaya Bay. 10 And I have an old map here which depicts what is 11 going to happen if you build that reef. Naturally you're 12 going to be building up a lot of delta between -- is 13 there supposed to be a reef extending from Point O'f*** 14 towards Marsh Island or towards Point Chenier? 15 Sue, is that it? 16 SUE HAWES: 17 That's what it is. Yeah. We'd look at restoring 18 Point Cerille toward Marsh Island reef, and then also the 19 reef -- a reef from Point Afferidi to Eugene Island, and 20 Eugene Island on over toward the other reefs, so we have 21 reefs across the mouth of the bay, and then the diagonal 22 reef from Point *** toward Marsh Island. 2.3 TED BEAULLIEU: 2.4 I certainly want to commend you on the outlook of

> ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

total agreement with that plan. And we also want to

the plans that you presented tonight. We're certainly in

25

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

TED BEAULLIEU:

1	45 I have a bunch here. I'll be more than happy to
2	give you one.
3	ROBIN DUNKIN:
4	Okay. Sue would like to have a copy.
5	TED BEAULLIEU:
6	I've drawn on it. My understanding, this is the old
7	Map Point Cheralle reefs that extended out
8	12 miles at one time.
9	SUE HAWES:
10	
11	Ted, which one did you want? Any one of them? TED BEAULLIEU:
12	
13	Subprovidence 3 which shows your depiction of what
14	was taking place?
15	SUE HAWES:
16	This one?
17	TED BEAULLIEU:
18	Yes.
19	TED BEAULLIEU:
20	This does a great job for us in protecting this area
21	down here as I just got through saying in regard to the
22	surge that we had. To the left you see Marsh Island at
23	which helped us out at some point which cut down the
24	surge from 10 to 12 feet and 5 to 6 fix.
	But there's only problem that we do have with this.
25	And I think some other people in this audience, I know
	Mr Naguin here with St Mary Parish is what's going to

1 happen at the jaws. We have a problem with the jaws 2 where we're going to be filling in the bays. 3 mind filling in Atchafalaya Bay. As far as we're 4 concerned, Atchafalaya Bay is gone.

TROY CONSTANCE:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Ted, pardon me, I'm just going to point out the jaws for you.

TED BEAULLIEU:

Please. And there's a project now that's on the boards with DNR, whatever committee that is or whatever agency is a head of it, but I know St. Mary Parish is involved in that. Therefore, it also involves our bays as far as we're concerned, because the amount of sediment that's being poured into that bay is filling up our bays at the jaws.

And I know this presents a problem with St. Mary Parish. And I'm not trying to steal your thunder. I'm sure you're going to go ahead and get up and tell me what you have to say. Right?

MR. NAOUIN:

Not really.

TED BEAULLIEU:

But you're having a problem, and trying to solve that problem by doing some terracing to the south of the And in doing so, they want to dredge that channel from the jaws out into the West LeBlanche Bay towards

Point Barone, and in doing so that's going to do nothing
but allow more subtation to pour into east and west
LeBlanche Bay and eventually into Vermilion Bays.
So we'd like to make sure that before they proceed
with any projects, we would like to be involved in
determining whether or not we want to work closely with
St. Mary Parish and the corp and whoever else is
involved to make sure that everybody's problems are
addressed. I thank you. I won't take up any more of
your times.
ROBINSON;
Those are great comments. Would you all like to
respond to any more of his comments?
JON PORTHOUSE:
Well, we already have all the information he's
talking about, but we do appreciate the offer to work
with us. I know we worked very closely in the past on
this project in particular. We look forward to
continuing that relationship to make sure this happens in
the best possible way.
ROBINSON:
Thank you, Jon. Our next individual,
Allan Ensminger with Wetlands and Wildlife Management
Company.
ALLAN ENSMINGER:

ACCOCIATED DEDORTEDO

My name is Allan Ensminger,

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

1	48 E-n-s-m-i-n-g-e-r. I live in DeRidder, Louisiana over in
2	the left part of the state. But one of the clients that
3	I manage property for is Pointifer Properties. That's
4	the one that is shown in the center of this map here with
5	the red fronting shoreline on the front side of the
6	islands; 44,000-acre tract of land, belongs to some
7	absentee owners and the New Orleans Archdiocese of the
8	Roman Catholic Church.
9	The island has suffered extensive shoreline erosion
10	
11	over those 100 years that these people have owned it, at
12	probably at the rate of about 60 to 70 feet of
13	shoreline loss annually.
14	Two major Quipper projects were implemented there on
15	the island. One of them involved a long section of
16	shoreline protection to keep from breaching over into the
17	Pointifer oil and gas field and to prevent salt water
	from coming in through the heart of the island.
18	The other one was a dredge and fill project known as
19	the Lake *** Hydrological Restoration Project. It
20	involved taking film material out of the Atchafalaya and
21	filling in that broken marsh area. It had a spectacular
22	success story on that one.
23	Those type of projects are extremely, extremely
24	important. As Ted just pointed out, Pointifer Island
25	took the brunt of Hurricane Lilly in this area. We had
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

about 8 feet of water over the island. It destroyed all

We all know from past experience that not dealing with

realistic sense I would say ten to fifteen percent would

be the maximum if Louisiana could participate.

I would hope too that we could move on a little bit quicker than we have in the past. Although of late I've seen great strides being made. But I just learned this evening that Kenard and Davis Pond were approved by Congress in 1965. I was a senior in high school, and you can look at me and tell that wasn't yesterday. But hopefully, you know, we could be able to move a little bit quicker.

Looking at Subprovince 3 and the plans to introduce more sedimentation into the Atchafalaya River gives me some concern. We're going to -- as far as those we're going to have, an environmental impact study prepared and completed next month by the Corp of Engineers to deepen the Atchafalaya River at least to maintain the 20-foot depth, a real depth of 20 foot, in taking into account that we have -- what is technical -- what is the word we're using for the stuff that jumped us? Substance -- fluff. That we have the fluff at a level below 20 foot. So we have got 20 foot of usable water.

2.4

Introducing more sedimentation into the river concerns me in that we are going to have a lot of trouble dredging at a rate that allows us to get rid of that sedimentation and look at this plan, it looks like that would exacerbate the problem that we already have. So I hope that we can -- maybe Sue Hawes can make me feel a

sedimentation to the west. We've got approved from the EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, to move that stuff off to the west side. I think that's going to prove to shorten the dredge site. I don't think it will be necessary to keep the channel open. But I do still have that concern. So if I -- maybe Sue can address that or Troy.

TROY CONSTANCE:

2.3

2.4

There's a couple of things that you need to look at.

One of them is improving the sediment movement into the

Atchafalaya Bay is really a reconfiguration wiping out -water protection leaking out, but also at potential
changes in distribution flowing.

But at this stage what they're looking at every day, what is the value to the ecosystem. That kind of change has such a far reaching impact, not only to the local community, as you pointed out, but also to the navigation channels that -- in the Atchafalaya and the Mississippi River, that that is more than likely to be one of those kinds of projects that would be moved up into that third grouping that Jon referred to during his presentation.

The key in this investigation would be to investigate what type of environmental benefit could be

1 derived if those things were possible.

2 ROBIN DUNKIN:

2.4

Thank you, Senator Gautreaux. Next person up, Jon
Faslon with Prita. Jon, are you out there? There he is.
And Jon, if you don't mind telling us what Prita stands
for.

JON FASLON:

What Prita stands for?

ROBIN DUNKIN:

Uh-huh (affirmative response).

JON FASLON:

It's an acronym for ellipses innovation, research, technology and application. So that precludes saying that I think a little bit further ahead than most people do. And I've been looking at this problem for, not yesterday, not last year, but for about the 12, 14, maybe 15 years I've been seeing it happen, and I haven't seen anything done about it.

Now, I go to the meetings up in Baton Rouge, the Governor's office, on coastal restoration and all I hear about is doing studies and gathering money. Well, somehow the state has gathered \$300,000,000, and from that money I haven't seen one square inch of coast land preserved or restored. We spent I don't know how many millions of dollars doing this Davis Pond Research Project. That was a total

1 failure

because somehow we didn't look far enough ahead and see that we were impacting the oyster fisherman, who shut the project down.

So it's not a -- it's not a matter of money. We have the money, but direction. I see a lot of pretty maps up here and pictures and -- but it doesn't prove a thing because we need to stop the erosion before we can do anything about it, and no one's ever been able to do that.

I have my own plan for it, but it's counter intuitive to what everyone else thinks and no one seems to think that I know very much about anything because of my ideas. Well, they just aren't looking far enough ahead.

2.3

2.4

There's only two places in Louisiana where the wetlands increase, and that's at the mouth of the Mississippi and here in the Atchafalaya Delta. Every place else is washing away and sinking. And the sinking problem is the biggest problem, and there's no ready solution to that. No matter how much money you put into it, it's going to be a steady drain on us, because the only thing that I can see to do is to levy off the cities and keep them pumped out where -- where everything else is building up.

So what the cities will become are ponds out in the

1	middle of the delta. The big answer to this is to let it
2	flood.
3	I talked to some ecologists, some historians, some
4	other people with big doctoral degrees, and they tell me
5	that 20,000 years ago the coast was 200 miles further out
6	in the Gulf than it is now. So this is not a new
7	problem. This has been going on for a long, long time.
8	I don't think man's going to step in in a year or two and
9	cure the problem. I don't care how much money we put
LO	into it. All we can do is talk about like we're doing
L1	now, and that's not very productive.
L2	So my big thing is to the only thing I can say is
L3	to let it flood. No one wants to hear that, but let it
L4	flood. Flooding made it, flooding maintained it. When
L5	man stepped in and put his hands into the equation,
L6	that's where the problem started.
L7	Not only the Corp of Engineers, but also the oil
L8	companies and the logging companies, were started back in
L9	the 1800's, so it's a long, drawn out problem with no
20	easy solution, and I don't see any end in sight. Thank
21	you very much for your time.
22	ROBIN DUNKIN:
23	Thank you, Mr. Faslon.
24	Next person up, can we have State Representative
25	Carla Blanchard Dartez?

REP. CARLA BLANCHARD DARTEZ:

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

However, I'm just a little dismayed that this commission was just created while he's gone out. So that puts a really, really big burden on the -- on the new person coming in, but hopefully something will be in place and they'll be able to work with it while it's there, but I just wish something would have come before today, but, you know, that's in the past.

23

2.4

25

My biggest question would be is, how long has LCA

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

TROY CONSTANCE:

58 1 No, I said it was a lot less. 2 REP. DARTEZ: 3 Okay. Why is it a lot less? 4 TROY CONSTANCE: 5 Well, they have fewer acres of wetlands, and they're 6 the --7 REP. DARTEZ: 8 Because they're out of the wetlands. 9 TROY CONSTANCE: 10 Because they're out of the acreage and the 11 geomorphology, how the land was constructed. And 12 their's is drastically different than ours. 13 REP. DARTEZ: 14 Well, I saw the last time -- I sort of agree with 15 the last fellow. I forget his name, but it's natural and 16 it's human nature, and I hate to see spending umpteen 17 millions of dollars of trying to fix something that 18 ultimately won't be fixed, although I'm not advocating 19 not fixing it. Please don't get me wrong, because I know 20 it needs to be done. 21 And looking at the board, the numbers on the board 22 that I did not get appointed to, there were -- like, from 2.3 out of state, and I'm like, "What do these people know 2.4 about Louisiana? I mean, what do they have to put in,

But you made it very, very clear that it's not just

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

25

what do they have?"

1 the state problem, it's the country's problem, so that 2 made me feel a little better.

So, again, thanks for coming out tonight. please, this is what we need, public support and public input. And anything I can do to help things, and I won't bash anybody too much anymore.

ROBIN DUNKIN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you, Representative Dartez. Our next person up, Mark Davis with CRCL. It's good to see you again.

MARK DAVIS:

It's a pleasure. Mark Davis. I'm the Executive Director of the Coalition to Restore Coastal Louisiana. Like Randy Martel, I've been to each of the previous meetings, and I'm not going to repeat all of the statements that I've made there. And we'll be putting some written comments in.

Briefly however, we are both very pleased at the progress that is being made and the ambitious plans that are being pursued. We do have concerns about the pace scope. And again, we will put those into comments.

What I would like to address tonight really, is the nature of the challenge of that, because at each of the meetings we've had up to this point, the question has always come up, why are we studying this? Haven't we studied it to death, and, you know, shouldn't we just get about things?

I think it's absolutely critical for the

public to be, you know, well-informed through this

process, and then to become ambassadors for a truly

effective competent solution.

2.4

And it's very clear that, you know, the problems that we're facing are part natural, but largely not. And it's the part that's not natural that we really have a responsibility to address.

With respect to the issue about, you know, studies, timing, why we've waited so long, is really not the point. The point is that we now have a chance to make something happen. And this is the process for doing that, and that the studies we're speaking of here are absolutely essential to making the case are not only getting support within the state, but nationally.

Randy Martel also made the point that this is going to entail an actual investment of resources by the state.

And I think that people need to know that 35 percent is the legal standard needed, but the practical standard for such undertakings is 50 percent.

Now again, we have -- they're trying to make the case that we are different in the Everglades, we're different than the Sacramento *** Belt in California. But we have to be very realistic about not, you know, putting together a plan that is intended to get an authorization, but we are putting together a campaign to

save this place.

And I think the lessons that we need to learn can be taught by things such as Davis Pond and Caernarvon, in which we were very successful early on in getting 100 percent federal authorization. And then it was 15 years before we saw a nickel appropriated, and only then after the State of Louisiana voluntarily stepped forward with the 25 percent of costs share offer. I think we have to be very clear about that.

And that final thing is that, while we're talking here about getting things to Congress as soon as possible, and we would certainly hope 2004 offers that, there are decisions that we made before that period of time. One of the election of a new Governor and a new Legislature. And I think every person here, every person in Louisiana actually, has the duty to inform themselves and to make that their elected officials understand the nature of this crisis and the imperative effect we -- on us, which is that, you know, if we do not put ourselves, you know, squarely, you know, forward in the commitment department, I can promise you no one anywhere else in this country will do that.

2.4

And that begins with the commitments that we make.

And when we walk into the voting booth, when we write

letters to candidates, when we ask questions of them, and

I think that we had -- need to not really ask for their

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The no action alternative is essentially the suicide of south Louisiana, and with it every defective economy and culture of the entire state, and we have to make that start, and we have to commit ourselves to preventing that. Thank you.

JON PORTHOUSE:

future is now.

Thank you, Mark. That was very well put. And just to add a little to that, that part of the report we always send up is an Assessment of the Commitment of the non-federal sponsors, which includes the public. So the decision-makers that vote towards putting money into this kind of investment, of course are definitely looking for that commitment, so the more they are hearing from you, and we can detail it, and of course the better off we are, in presenting our case through Congress.

ROBIN DUNKIN:

Thank you, Mr. Davis. We can open up the floor to anyone now. I still have Lori LeBlanc's question, so I'll get back to you, Lori. Go ahead, and remember names, affiliation, and where you're from.

JUDGE EDWARDS:

Okay, Judge Edwards, Vermilion Corporation, Chairman

of Vermilion Parish Coastal Restoration Advisory

Committee, and I'm on the Governor's Advisory Committee,
as well. And I don't know how I got there. But I've
been doing this for the last 20 years, so that might have
something to do with it. I have one quick question, I
quess for Sue.

Sue, you mentioned Keystone strategies. In 2050 we had more strategies. Does that in the LCA we're going to only focus on the Keystone strategies and ignore the other strategies, or how is that going to work?

SUE HAUS:

Well, the idea was to focus on the Keystones, which are things that are too expensive for the Breaux Act and do things that ecosystems think. What we discovered is, we got into the plan and then Subprovince 3 and 4, there are a lot of small things to put together, would achieve things on ecosystem's scale. So it's slightly different, where you've got the river running through it. And like Mississippi, you know, is the gentleman said, "Let it flood, you know, to let it flood in a controlled way by reintroducing the river and letting it build the land by the what built this whole state.

2.4

But in Subprovince 3 and 4, we're looking at slightly smaller structures and measures. Thank you.

TROY CONSTANCE:

Judge, just to add to that, what everyone has to

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355 keep in mind, that this reporting process is about putting together a blueprint for success, a plan that we can build upon. So it's not the end of the trail for any of the strategies that you may not see. It's just a simple way in a short time frame of presenting the problems and the needs and showing that we have a solution, and putting some boxes around it; what the solution is and getting that authority, as Jon pointed out.

And once you have that in place you can expeditiously can start planning and whatever is necessary to make those plans function to the maximum outlet that you desire.

JUDGE EDWARDS:

2.4

For it to be a project within the LCA it would need to be a Keystone strategy, otherwise Breaux bill would have to address it.

TROY CONSTANCE:

Well, not necessarily. It's only toward this reporting process. We need to focus in on those large scale things so that we can make a case, show the problems and needs, and show that there is a solution, and what the order of magnatude that solution is. And that once you get the delegated authority or you set up a structure where you can move quickly, then you can return to each and every base and work out the details as

But to know that we have to spread ourselves -- and that

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC.

So anyway, I will doing a public meeting on injustice

maybe it's because I go to every single meeting because

it is my job to do that, exactly, keep up on issues.

2.4

25

1	67
2	if I didn't at least just put on the record what Restore
3	and Retreat is all about. What we actually support in
	this LCA study, and that is using the most invaluable
4	resource that we have, the Mississippi River. And as the
5	man said earlier, let it flood. But unfortunately
6	well, fortunately for all of us sitting in this room, we
7	live, we work and we play in south Louisiana.
8	I live in Houma. My family, we have a family
9	business. We are there because we have a very strong
10	economy and it's worth saving, and the culture is worth
11	
12	saving. So we're not can't necessarily let it loose,
13	but we can use it to our advantage, use it the way the
	river was meant to be used.
14	Like Bayou Lafourche used to do, it used to be the
15	lifeline to our community. It carried 15 percent of the
16	Mississippi River. Let's get some of that water instead,
17	and then back into the basins; 160,000,000 tons of
18	sediment is going off into the outer continental shelf.
19	We need that sediment.
20	When you look at that map, we've been talking about
21	moving Atchafalaya River water east and south. Let's
22	move some of that sediment east and south, if it is at
23	
24	all possible.
25	So we, of course, support the Major Third Delta
	Conveyance Channel Project. There's a parallel effort

with the LCA study, and any possible way of getting some

of the water and sediment over from the Atchafalaya River.

And the only other concern issue, if I'm not going on too long is, there are other infrastructure projects going on right now. As a matter of fact, there was a conflicting meeting tonight; the Donaldsonville ***

Gulf Levee Construction Project. That's one in particular major infrastructure project, there's the I-49

Corridor, all these different highways.

We have to make sure that all of these infrastructure projects are working together with the LCA projects. They cannot withstand alone, because if you build one thing and it impacts future restoration projects, we're only defeating the purpose of having a comprehensive restoration program. And that was it. Thank you.

17 TROY CONSTANCE:

2.3

2.4

I would like to address one issue that's you brought up, consistency within the federal, state and private entities in there endeavors within the coast. And that's another reason why it's extremely important that we move very quickly into getting some sort of approval of this plan, because as it stands right now, there is nothing really for all the other federal findings to be consistent to.

I just want to make sure that I was clear about

Caernarvon and Davis Pond Projects. My point was, 35
years from Congressional authorization to to the
actual moving of the Davis Pond was an you know, an
incredible amount of time for a project to take. But I
know that Kenard, it has been immensely successful, and I
suspect that Davis Pond will be just as successful.
One of things that we may consider, or may have
considered already, as a resource for being able to get
the word out how important it is to get this project
funded and what effects it will have on our state in the
future, would be maybe to take in science teachers at
grade school level and high school level, and put
together a program or presentation, maybe not just a
maybe not just a presentation, but a curriculum that
would teach students about coastal restoration and the
erosion that would have subsistence of all those things
that have caused the problems that we're having right
now.
Approaching to the Department of Education, I think
they would be very receptive to such a curriculum and who
better to get that word out than the teachers.
JON PORTHOUSE:
We absolutely agree with that.
Is Gabrielle Boudreaux still here?
GABRIELLE BOUDREAUX:

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

Yes, I am.

JON PORTHOUSE:

Stand up and wave your hand. Gabrielle
has large experience with the Quipper Program trying to
do teacher workshops and get the word out into the
schools, and we completely agree with your comment that
we need to start there.

GABRIELLE BOUDREAUX:

We have been conducting teacher workshops. I'm Gabrielle Boudreaux Baudin, Management Coordinator for Quipper. We've been doing teacher workshops throughout the state for the last three and a half years now, and we have presented information to over 2,000 teachers in that time period.

We do have educational CD ROMS with teacher's guides that we distribute to them, and a number of educational materials. And we teach them the basics. A lot of teachers don't know the basics of coastal wetlands and what's going on out there. And we teach that to them and how to present it to their students. So we are working to that end.

2.4

We also do work with the Environmental Ed

Coordinator with the state, Wayne Emmick.

We're also working with the Louisiana Science Teachers

Association and some of the other professional

organizations to get the word out.

SUE HAUS:

ASSOCIATED REPORTERS, INC. 201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 3811 New Orleans, Louisiana 70170 (504) 529-3355

71 1 And Leslie McVay from the Barataria-Terrebonne. 2 Leslie, do you want to tell them what you guys are doing? 3

4

5

6

LESLIE MCVAY:

My name is Leslie McVay. I'm with the Barataria-Terrebonne National Estuary Program. My title is Community Relations Coordinator.

7

8

9

10

11

12

We do, as a program, have a formal education coordinator, Debra Scholls. Our program area covers 15 parishes in the Barataria-Terrebonne Basins, beginning at the old water control structure in Morganza, down to the Gulf. The Mississippi River is our border to the east, and the Atchafalaya is our border to the west.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Debra, over the years, has developed with a lot of our management conference partners, many of whom are Breaux Act partners also. The explore in south Louisiana -- "Exploring Coastal Louisiana with Boudreaux" and "Knee Deep in Louisiana Wetlands." Those are available at no cost to teachers and students and the public through our office. I'll leave my cards, or if anybody wants to give their name and address.

21

22

23

2.4

25

We have field trip guides, wonderful for teachers, that explore different sites throughout the area. have educational videos that come with accompanying curriculum guides. And we are constructing currently a mini web page that actually has downloads of all of our educational projects that -- and products that are

available to the general public.

Portions of that, not all the products are up there yet, but about half of them are. Our website is www.btwep.org. And Debra has a lot of presentations in the area and would be glad to do that. She's currently working with an action plan team of teachers to bring all of our products up to the new state accountability standards so that they can be incorporated more readily into our programs, and she's working with the different School Boards and our office on that. And we certainly are happy to cooperate. We share information and products and projects with Gabrielle and others on the Quipper task force all the time, and are always looking for opportunities to expand our base.

So even though you may not be in our program area, if you're coming from -- on the other side of the Atchafalaya, we're still happy to come whenever we can. So we welcome any inquiries and would -- I'll be glad to support you with any material we can in any way. Thank you.

SUE HAUS:

2.4

And by the way, to just prove that this works, my grandson in third grade in New Orleans came home all excited. They've had a week's study plan about land loss and coastal erosion and what was happening, so it's getting out into the schools, I can assure you.

GABRIELLE BOUDREAUX:

If I can just add to that, one thing that map is considered doing, and we're going to look again at the Resolution Time Management Conference, right now the BESSIE -- the board naturally focuses a lot of its efforts on the leap scores and improving because of the strict accountability standards.

If there is a way to legislatively -- I hate to use the term "mandate," but encourage, that we need to incorporate the coastal land loss issues into their science accountability and their science standard state's program. That would go a long way, to not only educate our own children in the area about being good stewards as they grow and live here in south Louisiana, but it would help with the children in the other parts of the state and help carry that message.

But currently there is no specific mandate for them to teach that. It's optional. And the schools in our program area and New Orleans, you know, where we live and breathe it, certainly are, well going to try to do it, but there's nothing in there that made them teach that to the standard at this time on a statewide basis.

ROBIN DUNKIN:

Thank you. Thank you, I think there is a gentleman in green here that would like to say something.

ADDISON ELLIS:

1	74 Yes. Thank you. My name is Addison Ellis. I'm
2	I don't represent anybody and I'm not affiliated with
3	anybody.
4	One of my questions, you brought up the idea that
5	there are current state holders involved in these
6	meetings and they work with you guys. How can I get a
7	list of who those current state holders are and what
8	their goals and objectives are so that I might better
9	know who I would want to work with, if any at all?
10	TROY CONSTANCE:
11	If you get your name and what way of contacting you
12	to Gary Robert. Gary?
13	GARY ROBERT:
14	We will be able to get you a list of the people that
15	we associate with, then you can
16	pick from that, but I can tell that it's not the only
17	groups out there. There is some that we
18	deal with very advanced. You have to decided which is
19	your best.
20	ADDISON ELLIS:
21	Right. And I also picked up that you guys were
22	available.
23	TROY CONSTANCE:
24	Yes.
25	ADDISON ELLIS:

And I'm glad this slide's still up, because I had

1	one question for Ms. Haus. If you will, I think I've			
2	seen an earlier map on the web page, and it included			
3	coastal restoration throughout ***Blanche and Vermilion			
4	Bay area. They are all the way around Leeks Island. And			
5	I see now that, you know, the only focus is going to be			
6	on one, two, three small areas. Am I reading that right?			
7	SUE HAUS:			
8	See, that one has some it protects Southwest			
9	Pass, and over there near Point			
LO	Marrone and then also has Troy had said, Southwest Pass			
L1	here. And as Troy had said, when we get this hopefully,			
L2	the delegated authority, then we come look at this, we			
L3	can look at we are not just limited to just these			
L4				
L5	areas we can			
L6	see if more areas would be cost effective. But this			
L7	keeps a place holder for that kind of stuff. ADDISON ELLIS:			
L8				
L9	We've been fighting erosion in east and west *** Bay			
20	now for many, many years. And I would think that			
21	rebuilding historic reefs is going to help with that			
22	problem, especially with Mr. Ensminger's comments, I			
23	think that's who said that the tidal action was reduced			
24	from 10 feet to 5 feet. And so that's a good thing. But			
25	I was disappointed with not seeing more emphasis in other			
ر ن	areas.			

TROY CONSTANCE:

76 1 There are many miracles that need to be done in 2 coastal restoration, but to address all of those issues 3 is outside of the time frame in which we're operating. 4 So I quess -- we're turning back to the fact that we 5 are trying to paint a picture and educate what the 6 problem is and the magnitude of the problem and severity. 7 And demonstrate that there is a solution, and put some 8 boxes around that cost, and some determination. 9 There's a thing called incremental analysis in which 10 we will get into when we move into the final phases of 11 redesigning, that is, if I add a little bit more, another 12 increment in project and cost, would it be added 13 increment and benefits? And we do that and try to 14 maximize the output for dollars. 15 And that's where you come -- in terms of the 16 project, you start doing that sort of incremental 17 analysis, you should see things like that start popping 18 By doing a diversion in a particular area I get X 19 number of benefits. 20 Now, what if I did that diversion added a little 21 what do I get, and you do that, and finally you come up 22 with the maximum *** And that's what happens after you 23 get yourself in position.

So a lot of you are looking down at coastal restoration, see the kind of strategies that you need to do that may not be replacing this overall

2.4

25

So I would encourage people in the audience who haven't heard the America's Wetlands Campaign to go to -- you can either talk to myself or, you know, Lori LeBlanc

for champions in the state who can actually take this

program into their communities.

22

2.3

2.4

25

1	you in contact with economist in charge. And we'll give		
2	you details as they come out.		
3	ED LANDGRAF:		
4	Well, are you still looking for data from other		
5	industries and other places or not really?		
6	TROY CONSTANCE:		
7	Yeah, absolutely. Businesses know more about what		
8	is happening on the ground than we do. So what every		
9	little insight we can get into your business is extremely		
10	important to help paint that picture.		
11	While we may not be able to get there,		
12	we'll be thinking on the impact that we would normally		
13	do. We need to express all those concerns before we		
14	to the best of our ability, and some of the examples when		
15	you get invited are interaction to pipeline industry.		
16	So if you have an industry and you have some		
17	considerations or at some point that you just need to		
18	make a report, again contact me or Jon, and we'll put you		
19	in a place about what the specialists have to say. Thank		
20	you for bringing that up.		
21	ROBIN DUNKIN:		
22	Would you like to make the final question?		
23	ED LANDGRAF:		
24	Sure. I've got a lot of it I can		
25	ROBIN DUNKIN:		

You've got a lot of it.

ED LANDGRAF:

I won't touch on all of them. But I'll lean into it by saying, in part, from where I see it, I see two reasons why there might be some lack of public participation, and I know probably -- could we ask to see how many people in the room have -- maybe the way to do it would be, I guess, have been to other Quipper or Coastal Restoration meetings before? Or maybe how many haven't?

Okay. More has than has not. And, again, -- and I take it that these are probably some pretty good diehards back there. People like the ones -- the newcomers oftentimes, as I see it, don't come back because one, the process takes so long. And so LCA will hasten that process, and if the Public Awareness Committee can make us aware of what's happening in that arena so that the general public can see that things are moving forward, they'll be more encouraged to come back.

The second is, oftentimes at meetings like this, and my -- I'm going to give a specific example. In 2050, I can't tell you how many meetings I went to, but dozens.

We had 65 toal.

ED LANDGRAF:

SUE HAUS:

Sixty-five total meetings. I went to all those, not every one but in Region 3 and Region 4, I went to almost

every one. So that's about 30 meetings.

Coastal strategy, and when it left the final meeting it was on the books, but the strategy failed to emerge from Baton Rouge, or if it did emerge, it was very diluted and you really had to look for it. And the strategy has reappeared in what was -- Subprovince 4 as the protection perimeter. But I think that means that we're going to maintain the levees around Sabine Natural Wildlife refuge and other places as such.

The strategy was, to have beneficial use of dredge material, include placing it on the banks of navigation channels and close new canals and connect it to navigation channels.

And today, federal and state agencies are opposing that when the Fire Inspector goes for a permit. So there's some -- now, it may not be a good strategy, it may not be a good strategy through all of Louisiana, but there are places where it works.

And when the public goes through 30 meetings and the strategy has made it through 30 meetings, and it doesn't come all the way around, and then the agencies begin opposing that very strategy, it's disheartening to the public.

TROY CONSTANCE:

We recognize there are some inconsistencies between the two processes that come into play

82 1 within Corp of Engineers are making an effort in the 2 study, to look at that fact. We're putting together some 3 hypothetical permits, and following the Rules of 4 Regulatory with the office. 5 Say, "If a permit like this came through like this, 6 how would you react?," so that we can correct into the 7 study appropriate steps 8 to see that that happens. 9 ED LANDGRAF: 10 I'll give you some real life. 11 TROY CONSTANCE: 12 And I can't -- But what I can ask is that I don't 13 know what the total key thing is right now, but I don't 14 know what all the hypothetical things are, but I can ask 15 to see if that type of questioning is -- you know, what -16 - if a landowner wanted to do something that was consistent with the plan, how would the permitting action

17

officers react to, and as you pointed out, that's truly

important.

18

19

20

21

22

23

I suspect it's in the grouping of the type of *** I can't quarantee it, but we'll bring it. I want to make sure it is.

ED LANDGRAF:

2.4 Again, this is the Terracing Permit.

25 April will be two years, two years.

TROY CONSTANCE:

possible of that, as you know, will take a long time.

1 And that will probably be in the third group that Jon 2 talked about.

RUBY SPARKS:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Okay. And as you're looking at this, you're telling me that you're looking at it towards reducing the amount of flow down into the Atchafalaya or increasing?

SUE HAUS:

8 I'm afraid I can't tell you that, 9 because you're looking at increasing.

RUBY SPARKS:

You're looking at both directions.

SUE HAUS:

13 Yes.

RUBY SPARKS:

Well, I would just ask you all to keep in mind as you go through this process of Coast 2050, that in addition to the deterioration that occurred along the coast line that is very evident and visible as we make our recognizance surveys alike, and it's well documented, I think you need to keep in mind that there's also been a tremendous amount of devastation to the Cypress Tupelo*** Forest immediately north of these coastal areas, these coastal marshes, and that I would really ask that you try to include that within the scope of 2050.

It's been a real concern of mine, that 2050 has really been limited to just along the coastal areas and

My point being that, any consideration of redistributing flow among the -- or between the basins is not going to just impact the coastal area, but it's also going to have a material impact on the Cypress Tupelo Swamps to the north. I hope that you keep that in mind as you go forward in the planning process.

SUE HAUS:

22

23

2.4

25

1	86 Especially over in Barataria and Pontchartrain
2	Basins in upper Terrebone, we're looking at getting some
3	water and sediment into the Cypress Swamps to try to move
4	the water through so that it doesn't drown and get moved
5	by the sediment nutrients in there. So that is part of
6	the plan.
7	RUBY SPARKS:
8	And push along that salt water back out into the
9	Gulf where it's supposed to be.
LO	SUE HAUS:
L1	Kind of, sort of, yes.
L2	RUBY SPARKS:
L3	Okay. Thank you.
L4	ROBIN DUNKIN:
L5	Okay. Thank you. Before we conclude this evening I
L6	just want to recap a few things that Jon mentioned in his
L7	presentation.
L8	One was about public support and how you can become
L9	aware of the updates and what's going on.
20	First he mentioned about being on the mailing list
21	so you can know about future meetings. There are two
22	cards in the back that allow you to get on this mailing
23	list. Someone in the back can help you get on the
24	mailing list.
25	There's also a business reply card in the back. If

you were not able to make comments tonight, there is a

Okay. The presentations will be for all the

25

ROBIN DUNKIN:

1	89 REPORTER'S PAGE			
2				
3	I, Wanda Capdeville, Certified Court			
4	Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana,			
5	the officer, as defined in Rule 28 of the			
6	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Article 1434(b)			
	of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, before whom			
7	this sworn testimony was taken, do hereby state on the			
8	Record:			
9	That due to the interaction in the			
10	spontaneous discourse of this proceeding,			
11	dashes () have been used to indicate pauses, changes in			
12	thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method			
13	for a Court Reporter's transcription of proceeding, and			
14	that the dashes () do not indicate that words or			
15	phrases have been left out of this transcript;			
16	That any words and/or names which could not be			
17	verified through reference material have been denoted			
18	with the phrase "(phonetic)."			
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
20	Wanda Capdeville, CCR			
21	Certified Court Reporter			
22	cercified coult Reporter			
23				
24				
25				

1			90	
2	CERTIFI	CATE		
	This certification is vali	d only for a transcrip	ot	
3	accompanied by my original sign	ature and original rai	sed	
4	seal on this page.			
5	I, Wanda Capdeville, Certi	fied Court		
6	Reporter, in and for the State	of Louisiana, as the		
7	officer before whom this Public	Meeting was taken, do)	
8	hereby certify that after havin	g been first duly swor	n by	
9	me upon authority of R.S. 37:25		-	
10	hereinbefore set forth in the f	-		
11	That this testimony was re			
12	-		0.12	
13	Stenomask method, was prepared and transcribed by me or			
14	under my personal direction and	-		
15	true and correct transcript to	the best of my ability	, and	
16	understanding; That I am not related to counsel or to the			
	parties herein; am not otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter; and am a valid member in good			
17	standing of the Louisiana State	9		
18	Certified Shorthand Reporters.			
19		Capdeville ied Court Reporter		
20		ana License #22008		
21				
22				
23				
24				

25